

1
2
3
4
5
67 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA9
10 ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, et al.,
11 Plaintiffs,
12 v.
13 GOOGLE LLC,
14 Defendant.

Case No. 20-cv-04688-RS

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO DIRECT NOTICE TO
THE CERTIFIED CLASSES**

15 Plaintiffs submitted for consideration a proposed class notice program on March 7, 2024.
16 Following the order modifying the class definition in part, Plaintiffs submitted an amended plan
17 (the “Revised Class Notice Plan”). Google agreed to the majority of the updated forms of notice in
18 the Revised Class Notice Plan. The parties subsequently came to a resolution as to two open
19 questions concerning whether direct email notice should be sent to Google Workspace for
20 Education suite accounts, a type of enterprise account, and to the parents or guardians of
21 supervised accounts in addition to the actual account. In light of the parties’ agreement, Google
22 submitted for consideration one revised and one additional form of notice in response to Plaintiffs’
23 Revised Class Notice Plan. For the reasons set forth herein, Plaintiffs motion is granted and
24 Google’s additional and revised notices are approved.

25 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 provides that appropriate notice may be directed to a
26 b(2) class and requires “the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances” be directed to a
27 b(3) class. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(A)-(B). The Revised Class Notice Plan, including Google’s
28 proposed revision and addition, complies with Rule 23 and due process.

1 First, as required by Rule 23, the proposed notice under the Revised Class Notice Plan
2 “clearly and concisely” states (i) the nature of the action; (ii) the definition of the class certified;
3 (iii) the class claims; (iv) that a class member may enter an appearance through an attorney if the
4 member so desires; (v) that the Court will exclude from the class any member who requests
5 exclusion; (vi) the time and manner for requesting exclusion; and (vii) the binding effect of a class
6 judgment on members. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B). Second, Google’s revised and additional form
7 of notice increase the likelihood that “all members who can be identified through reasonable
8 effort” will receive actual notice. *Id.* Accordingly, Plaintiffs’ renewed motion to direct notice to
9 the certified classes and Google’s proposed email notices to Google Workspace Administrators
10 and to parents or guardians of supervised accounts are granted.

11 Cameron R. Azari and Hilsoft Notifications are appointed as class notice administrator and
12 shall be vested with all duties and responsibilities to effectuate the Revised Class Notice Plan.
13 Additionally, the Revised Class Notice Plan will proceed on the schedule previously ordered
14 during the last case management conference, Dkt. 367. The hearing set for June 13, 2024 is hereby
15 vacated under L.R. 7-1(b).

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: May 31, 2024



20 RICHARD SEEBORG
21 Chief United States District Judge

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<< SHORT ORDER TITLE >>
CASE No. 20-cv-04688-RS